



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/588,037	06/05/2000	J. Gregory Stout	A-68146/MAK/LM	8559
30636 75	590 04/10/2006		EXAM	INER
FAY KAPLUN & MARCIN, LLP			KOPPIKAR, VIVEK D	
NEW YORK,	/AY, SUITE 702 NY 10038		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•			3626	

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/588,037	STOUT, J. GREGORY	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Vivek D. Koppikar	3626	

-The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED 14 March 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).  AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
<ul> <li>(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);</li> <li>(c) ☒ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or</li> </ul>
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
Claim(s) allowed: NONE.
Claim(s) objected to: <i>NONE</i> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>30-49</u> .
Claim(s) rejected. <u>30~43.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>NONE</u> .
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.  REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. ☑ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:  See Continuation Sheet.
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
13. Other:
Joseph July
JOSEPH THOMAS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The additional limitation "in preparation for applying the offer to a second transaction" in claim 37 requires additional search and consideration.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: With regard to the independent claims the applicants argue that the acceptance of the reward and the redeeming of the reward occur at separate locations, however the independent claims do not recite that the first merchant location is separate and distinct from the second merchant location. Next, the applicants argue that the system described by the Gardenswartz patent does not allow the consumer to accept an offer prior to engaging in a second transaction in which the offer is applied. However, as the examiner pointed out in the last Office Action, in Gardenswartz when the consumer visits a specified retail location such as a grocery store and redeems the reward (Col. 16, Ln. 36-55) there is an indication of acceptance of the reward because the customer has visited the store to accept the reward. Furthermore, the indication of acceptance in Gardenswartz takes place at a first merchant location (e.g. a grocery store). In addition the awards are presented to the customer at a merchant location (e.g. grocery store) (Col. 16, Ln. 36-55). The award can subsequently be retrieved (e.g. the consumer is given an incentive to visit a retail store) at a second merchant location, wherein the offer is applied to a second transaction (Col. 4, Ln. 7-10). Gardenswarz does not preclude the retail store from which the consumer receives the reward from being separate from the retail store in which the consumer subsequently visits the store to retrieve the reward.

Vm Hom 415106